

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/808,060	03/14/2001	Noriki Kajizaki	FUJA 18.463	2927		
26304	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER			
KATTEN N	MUCHIN ROSENMA	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D				
	ON AVENUE L, NY 10022-2585	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW TORK	, N1 10022-2363		2665			
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/808,060	KAJIZAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven HD Nguyen	2665		

	Sieve	n HD Nguyen		2005	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on	the cover sheet	with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLIC	CATION IN COND	ITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the fe places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completime periods:	ollowing re a Notice of liance with	plies: (1) an amer Appeal (with appe 37 CFR 1.114. Th	ndment, af eal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp	ire later tha	n SIX MONTHS from	n the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE			WHEN IH	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension the shortene later than th	and the correspond ed statutory period for	ing amount or reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co	ompliance	with 37 CFR 41 3	7 must he	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ea Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be the AMENDMENTS	extension t	hereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	on, but prid	or to the date of fil	ing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require furthe	r considera	ation and/or searc	h (see NO	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE to					
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or		-	•		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		ponding number o	f finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR			of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be				Parala Clada a cara	
non-allowable claim(s).				-	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 .	: a) ⊠ will provided b	I not be entered, o pelow or appended	orb) □ wi d.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	, but before I and suffic	e or on the date or cient reasons why	f filing a N the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 	to overcon	ne <u>all</u> rejections ui	nder appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana-	ation of the	e status of the clai	ms after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	d but does	NOT place the ap	plication in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s). (PTO/S	SB/08 or PTO-1449	9) Paper N	lo(s).	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.				(XX)	
				(//)	
				Stevent D Nguyen Primary Examiner	
				Art Hait: 2665	

Art Unit: 2665

Continuation of 13. Other: the applicant states that Badt fails to disclose a method for selecting a path with a largest MTU for using to carry information between the nodes. In reply, Badt discloses a method and system for discorvery the MTU of the paths and stored the PMTU values of the internet paths into a table to be used for routing the packet as stated in the final office action. Gopalakrishna discloses a method and system for selecting a path with large MTU to be used for routing the packets between the nodes. Since, Gopalakrishna suggests that a large MTU will reduce the latency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the combination of these references perform the step of selecting a large MTU of a set of paths between the nodes to be used for routing the packets. Furthermore, The applicant states that Mulligan fails to disclose a method and system for selecting a largest MTH path between paths. In reply, Mulligan discloses a method and system for determining a PMTU for each path in the network and selecting a primary and secondary path for using to route the packets. Ketchan discloses a method and system for selecting a path with largest payload size that allows by the network to be used for transmitting the combined packets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the combination of these references perform the step of selecting a large MTU of a set of paths between the nodes to be used for routing the packets such as primariry and secondary paths.

STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER